



[\(http://www.euroscience.org/\)](http://www.euroscience.org/)

- [Home \(http://euroscientist.com/\)](http://euroscientist.com/)
- [About \(http://euroscientist.com/about/\)](http://euroscientist.com/about/)
- [Podcasts \(http://euroscientist.com/podcasts/\)](http://euroscientist.com/podcasts/)
- [Contributors \(http://euroscientist.com/contributors/\)](http://euroscientist.com/contributors/)
- [Euroscience.org \(http://www.euroscience.org\)](http://www.euroscience.org)



[\(http://euroscientist.com\)](http://euroscientist.com)



- [Support Us \(http://euroscientist.com/support-us/\)](http://euroscientist.com/support-us/)
- [Austerity \(http://euroscientist.com/austerity/\)](http://euroscientist.com/austerity/)
- [Science in Society \(http://euroscientist.com/tag/science-in-society/\)](http://euroscientist.com/tag/science-in-society/)
- [Science Policy \(http://euroscientist.com/tag/science-policy/\)](http://euroscientist.com/tag/science-policy/)
- [Funding \(http://euroscientist.com/tag/funding/\)](http://euroscientist.com/tag/funding/)

- [Innovation \(http://euroscientist.com/tag/innovation/\)](http://euroscientist.com/tag/innovation/)
- [Careers \(http://euroscientist.com/tag/career/\)](http://euroscientist.com/tag/career/)
- [Lifestyle \(http://euroscientist.com/tag/lifestyle/\)](http://euroscientist.com/tag/lifestyle/)

Open access: who should pay ?

Posted by **Editor** on September 25th, 2013



It's been great watching the open access (OA) debate slowly but completely transform over the last two years. Back when [I started writing about OA \(http://www.timeshighereducation.co.uk/417576.article\)](http://www.timeshighereducation.co.uk/417576.article), the big question was still whether or not the world should go that route at all. At times it has felt like a long, hard road from there to here, but we now live in a world where the [US and UK governments have both officially declared their support for universal OA \(http://www.theguardian.com/science/2012/jul/15/free-access-british-scientific-research\)](http://www.theguardian.com/science/2012/jul/15/free-access-british-scientific-research), and [Europe's Horizon 2020 research program will mandate OA \(http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-13-786_en.htm\)](http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-13-786_en.htm), while the European Research Council strongly [supports \(http://erc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/press_release/files/open_access_policy_researchers_funded_ERC.pdf\)](http://erc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/press_release/files/open_access_policy_researchers_funded_ERC.pdf) OA. The "whether to do OA" debate is over.

But the "how to do OA" debate rages on.

There are two principal approaches on the table, known as Gold and Green. Gold OA consists of publishing research articles in open-access journals. Green OA involves publishing in paywalled journals, but depositing the manuscripts in open repositories after an embargo, typically of 6 to 24 months. For a while, it seemed [the UK government was committed to a Gold-first approach \(http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/documents/documents/RCUKOpenAccessPolicy.pdf\)](http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/documents/documents/RCUKOpenAccessPolicy.pdf), but now [it's swinging back towards Green \(http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/business-innovation-and-skills/news/on-publi-open-access/\)](http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/business-innovation-and-skills/news/on-publi-open-access/).

The harsh reality behind publishing costs

The main argument for Green is that Gold is expensive. [The Finch Report \(http://www.researchinfonet.org/publish/finch/\)](http://www.researchinfonet.org/publish/finch/), which the UK government policy leaned heavily on, assumed average article processing charges (APCs) in the range £1500 – £2000 (about €1800 – €2400) – a heavy financial burden for research-intensive universities. It's hardly surprising that a lot of people reacted by asking who is going to pay?

There are five things to say in response.

First, we must not let the sometimes vociferous Gold-vs.-Green debate mask the strong agreement throughout the community that OA is the only [rational](http://cameronneylon.net/blog/network-enabled-research/) (<http://cameronneylon.net/blog/network-enabled-research/>), [ethical](http://www.theguardian.com/science/blog/2012/feb/10/parable-farmers-teleporting-duplicator) (<http://www.theguardian.com/science/blog/2012/feb/10/parable-farmers-teleporting-duplicator>) and [cost-effective](http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2012/12/19/taylor-cost-publish-gold-open-access/) (<http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2012/12/19/taylor-cost-publish-gold-open-access/>) way for research to be disseminated. Everything else is detail in comparison.

Second, lots of subscription journals *also* charge authors: page charges are not uncommon, and extra fees for colour illustrations are ubiquitous. It can cost an author more to publish behind a paywall than openly.

Third, the cost estimates in the Finch Report are hugely inflated – perhaps because of the editorial involvement of publishers who want to set expectations in their favour. [Research shows that the average APC of journals that charge them is only \\$906](http://www.openaccesspublishing.org/apc2/) (<http://www.openaccesspublishing.org/apc2/>) (about £570 or €680) – about a third of the Finch report's amount.

Fourth, most open-access journals don't charge APCs at all: [only about 29% charge a fee](http://crl.acrl.org/content/72/4/372.full.pdf+html) (<http://crl.acrl.org/content/72/4/372.full.pdf+html>) (although these journals account for about half of all OA articles). People often equate Gold OA with the payment of an APC – but it is not so.

Fifth, lots of journals that do charge APCs offer fee waivers for authors without access to publication funds. For example, [PLOS has a no-questions-asked waiver policy](http://blogs.plos.org/everyone/authors/) (<http://blogs.plos.org/everyone/authors/>), and [BioMed Central lets you make a pre-submission waiver inquiry](http://www.biomedcentral.com/about/apcfaq/waivers) (<http://www.biomedcentral.com/about/apcfaq/waivers>).

Putting all that together, it may not be surprising that though I've had articles published in half a dozen different open-access journals, I've yet to pay an APC.

Still, in a Gold OA world, *some* APCs will have to be paid, even if they're mostly much less expensive than the Finch Report indicated. We can't *all* take waivers.

Who should pay?

So where should the money come from?

There are three main options: block grants from funding bodies ([as suggested by RCUK](http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/media/news/2012news/Pages/121108.aspx) (<http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/media/news/2012news/Pages/121108.aspx>)), money taken from grants (which would be increased accordingly) or library funds.

My own view is that at the moment we should be looking at the second option, transitioning to the third as funds are increasingly freed by the cancellation of expensive subscriptions. Block grants may be simpler to administrate, but they suffer from an important problem: when researchers use slush funds to pay APCs, they are insulated from the costs, and have no incentive to favour better value publishers. But when researchers are spending their own grant money, an efficient market in APCs will develop, rewarding the publishers who give the best value for money.

But the end-game has to be re-routing the money libraries currently spend on subscriptions. The average cost to the world of a paywalled paper is about €3700 (this figure stems from [the STM Report for 2012](http://www.stm-assoc.org/2012_12_11_STM_Report_2012.pdf) (http://www.stm-assoc.org/2012_12_11_STM_Report_2012.pdf), which reports a total subscription market worth €6.7 (\$9.1) billion, publishing 1.8–1.9 million articles).

Once we stop pouring that money away every year, we can spend a tenth of it on Gold APCs, and invest the rest in infrastructure, staff and research.

[Mike Taylor](https://twitter.com/MikeTaylor) (<https://twitter.com/MikeTaylor>),

dino@miketaylor.urg.uk (<mailto:dino@miketaylor.urg.uk>)

Research Associate at the Department of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, UK

Author of [Sauropod Vertebra Picture of the Week blog](http://svpow.com/) (<http://svpow.com/>)

Photo credit: [OpenSourceWay](http://www.flickr.com/photos/opensourceway/5226383925) (<http://www.flickr.com/photos/opensourceway/5226383925>)



Posted in [Featured](http://euroscientist.com/category/featured/), [Opinion](http://euroscientist.com/category/opinion/) Tags: [Green](#) (<http://euroscientist.com/tag/green-or-gold/>), [Innovation](#) (<http://euroscientist.com/tag/innovation/>), [Open Access](#) (<http://euroscientist.com/tag/open-access/>), [Publishing](#) (<http://euroscientist.com/tag/publishing/>), [Scholarly Publishing](#) (<http://euroscientist.com/tag/scholarly-publishing/>)

« [Check our Noowit Edition for curated content on open access](http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/check-our-noowit-edition-for-curated-content-on-open-access/) (<http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/check-our-noowit-edition-for-curated-content-on-open-access/>)

[Open access: an opportunity for scientists around the globe](http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/open-access-an-opportunity-for-scientists-around-the-globe/) (<http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/open-access-an-opportunity-for-scientists-around-the-globe/>) »

You can [leave a response](#) (#respond), or [trackback](#) (<http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/open-access-who-should-pay/trackback/>) from your own site.

You are not authorized to see this part

Please, insert a valid [App ID](#) (<http://euroscientist.com/wp-admin/options-general.php?page=fbseocomments>), otherwise your plugin won't work correctly.

Leave a Reply

 Name (required) Mail (will not be published) (required) Website

Submit Comment

Confirm you are NOT a spammer

- 5 = two

• Featured Video



• Recent Comments

- Coocky on [Russian researchers protest against law dissolving Academy of Sciences](http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/russian-researchers-protest-against-law-dissolving-academy-of-sciences/#comment-25221) (<http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/russian-researchers-protest-against-law-dissolving-academy-of-sciences/#comment-25221>)
- leblase on [More equitable North/South research partnership](http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/more-equitable-northsouth-research-partnership/#comment-22109) (<http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/more-equitable-northsouth-research-partnership/#comment-22109>)
- Wilton R. Drake (<http://skdesign.sugel.net/>) on [When magic stimulates science education](http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/when-magic-stimulates-science-education/#comment-18634) (<http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/when-magic-stimulates-science-education/#comment-18634>)

- Bobby Acharya on [How particle physics is eroding the scientific method](http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/how-particle-physics-is-eroding-the-scientific-method/#comment-16075) (<http://euroscientist.com/2013/09/how-particle-physics-is-eroding-the-scientific-method/#comment-16075>)
- [Tania Duffy](http://businessdailyreview.com/) (<http://businessdailyreview.com/>) on ['Solve' is the new mantra](http://euroscientist.com/2013/06/solve-is-the-new-mantra/#comment-12991) (<http://euroscientist.com/2013/06/solve-is-the-new-mantra/#comment-12991>)
- [leblase](http://leblase.net) (<http://leblase.net>) on [Turkish academics in a bind over conservative policies](http://euroscientist.com/2013/07/turkish-academics-in-a-bind-over-conservative-policies/#comment-12353) (<http://euroscientist.com/2013/07/turkish-academics-in-a-bind-over-conservative-policies/#comment-12353>)
- [Petar Grujic](http://mail.ipb.ac.rs/~centar3/amt.html) (<http://mail.ipb.ac.rs/~centar3/amt.html>) on [Balkan R&D is largely anaemic, with an exception](http://euroscientist.com/2013/06/balkan-r&d-is-largely-anaemic-with-an-exception/#comment-11646) (<http://euroscientist.com/2013/06/balkan-r&d-is-largely-anaemic-with-an-exception/#comment-11646>)

• Tag Cloud



Soon, an augmented version of the Euroscientist

The Euroscientist is at the forefront of web 2.0 publishing and offers you an enhanced version of its magazine. This innovative noowit version, offers curated content of relevance to the topics covered by the Euroscientist so that you can get a 360 degree vision of what is happening in fields of interest to scientists.

See the preview here:

[\(<http://www.noowit.com/euroscientist>\)](http://www.noowit.com/euroscientist)

[\(<http://www.noowit.com/euroscientist>\)](http://www.noowit.com/euroscientist) (<http://www.noowit.com/euroscientist>)

[\(<http://www.noowit.com/euroscientist>\)](http://www.noowit.com/euroscientist)

Our Kind Donators

We double thank our kind donators for their contributions to the crowdfunding campaign(April-June 2013):

Amparo Brey - Lachezar Filchev - Gilles Mirambeau- Manuel Vidal Pita- Bruno Collinet - Françoise BARRE-SINOUSSI - Enric Banda - Ben Crystall - Emilio Muñoz Ruiz - Aina Af - selma1 -

And our anonymous donators!

Mini Tweets

WORLD UNIVERSITY RANKINGS **World Uni Rankings** 36s
 @THEworldunirank

One week to go until the 2013/14 THE World University Rankings! Get the latest here: ow.ly/p9UA9 #THEuirankings

COUNCIL OF EUROPE **Council of Europe** 4m
 @coe

Impact of Snowden now being discussed at #CoE #NetTransparency conference. Watch now webtv.coe.int/index.php?E

 **Laura Roberts** 20 Sep
 @LauRob85

Radiocarbon dating, how is it done? Head over to #geologyjenga on the #EGUblogs and find out! blogs.egu.eu/geojenga/

Retweeted by EGU

Expand

g Guardian Tech 6m
 @guardiantech

BlackBerry joins other technological turkeys gu.com/p/3j325

Show Summary

 **viEUws** 6m
 @viEUws

• Archives

Select Month ▼

Submit your suggestions to the Editor

Suggest stories that may be of interest to the Euroscientist, e-mail us to submit your own articles, or simply to give us feedback on our coverage at editor (at) euroscience.org

Subscribe to our newsfeeds

email address

Subscribe

Plugin from the creators of [Brindes](http://www.pazzanibrindes.com.br) (<http://www.pazzanibrindes.com.br>) :: More at Pluz [Wordpress Plugins](http://www.pluz.com) (<http://www.pluz.com>)

Disclaimer: Material in the Euroscientists does not necessarily reflect the views of the Editor or of EuroScience, and Contributors are solely responsible for the material they submit to the magazine.

