What do we mean by the directions "cranial" and "caudal" on a vertebra?



Michael P. Taylor University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. E-mail: dino@miketaylor.org.uk

Mathew J. Wedel

Western University of Health Sciences, Pomona, California, USA. E-mail: mathew.wedel@gmail.com

This may seem like a boring subject

But like many boring things, it's necessary.



Diagnosis (from Taylor 2018):

- I. Neural arch covers dorsal surface of centrum.
- 2. Neural arch slopes anteriorly 30°–35° relative to the vertical.
- 3. Sharp oblique lamina above lateral fossa forms ventral border of a broad, flat area.
- 4. Very large, teardrop-shaped anterior fossa.
- 5. Arched laminae form vaulted boundary of anterior fossa.



"Neural arch slopes anteriorly 30°–35° relative to the vertical."



"Neural arch slopes anteriorly 30°–35° relative to the vertical."

How can we evaluate this unless we know what is "vertical"?



"Neural arch slopes anteriorly 30°–35° relative to the vertical."

How can we evaluate this unless we know what is "vertical"?

It means "at right angles to horizontal".



"Neural arch slopes anteriorly 30°–35° relative to the vertical."

How can we evaluate this unless we know what is "vertical"?

It means "at right angles to horizontal".

Horizontal means "along the cranial-caudal axis"



"Neural arch slopes anteriorly 30°–35° relative to the vertical."

How can we evaluate this unless we know what is "vertical"?

It means "at right angles to horizontal".

Horizontal means "along the cranial-caudal axis"

But what directions are those?



- "Neural arch slopes anteriorly 30°–35° relative to the vertical."
- How can we evaluate this unless we know what is "vertical"?
- It means "at right angles to horizontal".
- Horizontal means "along the cranial-caudal axis"
- But what directions are those?
- What if the vertebra should be rotated 10° "backwards"



A terminological note

Usually, we prefer "anterior"/"posterior".

But our goal here is truly universal definitions.





Clarifying the problem

This is nothing to do with life posture, which is a much more difficult problem.

> Taylor et al. 2009, figure 1: Cape hare (*Lepus capensis*) RAM R2 Left: osteological neutral posture. Right: habitual life posture.

Clarifying the problem

We don't want a "horizontal" that's upside-down!

Hemisected parrot (probably Amazona ochrocephala) in the Natuurhistorisch Museum of Rotterdam, from a post on Love in the Time of Chasmosaurs, 4 August 2012.



Clarifying the problem

We want an "ideal" horizontal.



Ryder's 1877 Camarasaurus

So that characters such as "Neural arch slopes cranially 30° relative to the vertical" become objective measurements rather than being disputable.



For big animals like sauropods, vertebrae are usually oriented however they sit most easily on their pallets.



Differing vertebral shapes across taxa, and regions of the spinal column.





Saegusa and Ikeda (2104: fig. 8): Tambatitanis amicitiae holotype (MNHAH D-1029280) caudals, right lateral view

There are biological questions that we can't answer unless the vertebra is correctly oriented: e.g., measuring cross-sectional area of the neural canal.



There are biological questions that we can't answer unless the vertebra is correctly oriented: e.g., measuring cross-sectional area of the neural canal.



Four definitions of "horizontal"

- I. Long axis of centrum is horizontal
- 2. Articular facets of centrum are vertical
- 3. Neural canal is horizontal
- 4. Similarity in articulation

This is appealing for elongate vertebrae such as sauropod cervicals.



But it's difficult to determine for craniocaudally short vertebrae such as most caudals.



And where is "half height" at the front and the back?



And where is "half height" at the front and the back?



And where is "half height" at the front and the back?



This is appealing for short, tall vertebrae.



This is appealing for short, tall vertebrae.

But ambiguous when anterior and posterior facets are not parallel.



This is difficult to determine when dealing with facets that are concave.

Varanus komodoensis (Komodo dragon) caudal vertebra LACM herp. 121971, right lateral view



This is difficult to determine when dealing with facets that are concave or (worse) convex.

Varanus komodoensis (Komodo dragon) caudal vertebra LACM herp. 121971, right lateral view



And would we *want* this vertebra oriented with the facets vertical?

Varanus komodoensis (Komodo dragon) caudal vertebra LACM herp. 121971, right lateral view



Ambiguous for "keystoned" vertebrae in which the facets are not parallel.



Giraffe Giraffa camelopardalis FMNH 34426, cervical 7 in left lateral view

This interpretation of horizontality can make the neural canal jagged.



The spinal cord may curve in life, but it never kinks.



The spinal cord may curve in life, but it never kinks.



3. Neural canal is horizontal



3. Neural canal is horizontal

Can be very different from method 2.



3. Neural canal is horizontal

But ambiguous if dorsal and ventral margins of neural canal are not parallel.




Low-tech levelling device.

Brachiosaur altithorax holotype FMNH P 25107, caudals 1 & 2, Right lateral view.

This is anatomically informative, reflecting the developmental sequence. The vertebrae form around the spinal cord.



Liem et al. (2001): fig 8.3.

The spinal cord may curve in life, but it never kinks.



But it's difficult to determine in vertebrae that have not been fully prepared or CT-scanned, and impossible to see in lateral view.



But "horizontal" depends on where you think the start and end of the canal are.

Is the front here?



But "horizontal" depends on where you think the start and end of the canal are.

Is the front here?



But "horizontal" depends on where you think the start and end of the canal are.

Or is it here?



But "horizontal" depends on where you think the start and end of the canal are.

Or is it here?



When one or both of the margins is convex, there is no straight line to measure.



Medial view of left halves of two adjacent hemisected vertebrae

When one or both of the margins is convex, there is no straight line to measure.



Medial view of left halves of two adjacent hemisected vertebrae

When one or both of the margins is convex, there is no straight line to measure.



Medial view of left halves of two adjacent hemisected vertebrae

Can we find a "horizontal" based on the *whole* vertebra?

Can we find a "horizontal" based on the *whole* vertebra?

I. Depict the vertebra in any orientation.



Can we find a "horizontal" based on the *whole* vertebra?

I. Depict the vertebra in *any* orientation.2.Add another copy in the same orientation



Can we find a "horizontal" based on the *whole* vertebra?

Depict the vertebra in *any* orientation.
Add another copy in the same orientation
Articulate the copies without rotating.



Can we find a "horizontal" based on the *whole* vertebra?

Depict the vertebra in *any* orientation.
Add another copy in the same orientation
Articulate the copies without rotating.
Rotate both copies until at same height.



Can we find a "horizontal" based on the *whole* vertebra?

Depict the vertebra in *any* orientation.
Add another copy in the same orientation
Articulate the copies without rotating.
Rotate both copies until at same height.

Note: two *copies* of the *same* vertebra. Method does not require two vertebrae.



The method applied to *Giraffatitan* C5.



The method applied to the giraffe C7.



The articulation is optimal given that we do not rotate either copy.



This is less intuitive than definitions I-3, but:

- More precise
- Constrains subjectivity
- Can be determined for any complete vertebra, irrespective of whether the articular faces are parallel or the neural canal is tubular.

We may hope that this method is less vulnerable to yielding a distorted result when the vertebra is damaged.



Haplocanthosaurus MWC 8028 probable caudal I (Foster and Wedel 2014: fig. 5)

But it can't be done at all for Xenoposeidon, which is where we came in.



But it can't be done at all for Xenoposeidon, which is where we came in.





When we floated these notions on SV-POW!, all the methods had adherents.

No one method can satisfy all desiderata.



https://svpow.com/



We advocate that each paper should explicitly adopt a definition of "horizontal", and use it consistently.

Conclusion

Yes, this is a feeble conclusion.

We *tentatively* recommend the base-of-the-neural-canal-is-horizontal method.

We actively solicit feedback.



Acknowledgements

For access to specimens:

- Daniela Schwarz, MfN Berlin (Giraffatitan)
- Julia McHugh, Dinosaur Journey (Haplocanthosau
- Bill Simpson, FMNH (giraffe)
- Neftali Camacho, LACM (Komodo dragon)
- Sandra Chapman, NHMUK (Xenoposeidon)
- Ken Noriega, WesternU Vet Med (horse)

For CT scanning and 3D modelling:

• John Yasmer and Thierra Nalley, WesternU



What do we mean by the directions "cranial" and "caudal" on a vertebra?



Michael P. Taylor University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. E-mail: dino@miketaylor.org.uk

Mathew J. Wedel

Western University of Health Sciences, Pomona, California, USA. E-mail: mathew.wedel@gmail.com

What do we mean by the directions "cranial" and "caudal" on a vertebra?

(Answer: we don't really know.)

Michael P. Taylor

University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. E-mail: dino@miketaylor.org.uk



Mathew J. Wedel

Western University of Health Sciences, Pomona, California, USA. E-mail: mathew.wedel@gmail.com

Consistency

Consistency is important, so we can objectively assess and compare the slope of the neural arch, neural canal, or articular surfaces.







Opisthocoelicaudia skarzynskyii, caudals 6–8. (Borsuk-Bialynicka 1977: plate 5: figure 2a.)