

A new name for the dinosaur Haplocanthus Hatcher

J B Hatcher

Proceedings of The Biological Society of Washington 16:100-100 (1903)

<http://biostor.org/reference/101801>



Page images from the Biodiversity Heritage Library, <http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/>, made available under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.5/>

to strong ochraceous and has the tail deeply fringed with white at all seasons.

True *Sciurus douglasi*, the nearest relative of *S. orarius*, was perfectly well known to the distinguished authors of the Quadrupeds of North America, having been, in fact, first described by Bachman himself, but they make no mention of their *Sciurus mollipilosus* being at all like it, comparing the latter with the eastern Chickaree, *S. hudsonicus*.

At the time I wrote my description it seemed to me so perfectly evident that *S. mollipilosus* was a member of the *hudsonicus* and not the *douglasi* group that I did not mention that species though I carefully compared specimens of the new form with the descriptions and the plate. Going over the ground again, even more carefully, I am still emphatically of the same opinion.

As to the origin of the specimens (there were said to be specimens) upon which the name *Sciurus mollipilosus* was based—the only ground Dr. Allen gives for using the name to supplant my *S. orarius*—a word is sufficient. When Audubon and Bachman knew the exact source of their material they always stated it in detail, and such, in those days, little-known and indefinite regions as “Northern parts of California” and “Northern part of California near the Pacific Ocean” means merely northwest coast of North America, and is analogous to “that part of California that adjoins Mexico” of the same authors.

To sum up: In my opinion *Sciurus mollipilosus* Audubon and Bachman may have been based on the animal now called *Sciurus hudsonicus vancouverensis* Allen, with which the plate and descriptions agree very closely, or possibly it may have been *Sciurus hudsonicus streatoris*, but whatever it was, it was a member of the *hudsonicus* group and has nothing whatever to do with the Redwood Chickaree which belongs to the *douglasi* group, and should be known as *Sciurus (Tamiasciurus) douglasi orarius* (Bangs).—*Outram Bangs*.

A new name for the Dinosaur *Haplocanthus* Hatcher.

Dr. C. R. Eastman has very courteously called my attention to the fact that the generic name *Haplocanthus* recently proposed by me for a new Sauropod dinosaur from the Jurassic deposits near Canyon City, Colorado,* is essentially preoccupied, Agassiz having employed the name *Haplacanthus* for a genus of fishes. I would therefore propose the name *Haplocanthosaurus* for this genus of dinosaurs with simple median spines on the anterior dorsals and posterior cervicles.—*J. B. Hatcher*.

*Proc. Biol. Soc., Washington, XVI, pp. 1-2, February 21, 1903.